

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

MAR 02 2004

OFFICIAL

FAX COVER SHEET

TO	Examiner Robert Kunemund
COMPANY	USPTO
FAX NUMBER	17038729306
FROM	John Wooldridge
DATE	2004-03-02 18:49:44 GMT
RE	09/896,722 Final Office Action

COVER MESSAGE

Dear Robert,

Please see the attached Final Office action.

John P. Wooldridge

535 Lipoa Parkway
Suite 110
Kihei, HI 96753

tele: 808-270-1011
efax: 925-215-2542
email: john.wooldridge@comcast.net

This message may contain confidential and privileged information. If it has been sent to you in error, please reply to advise the sender of the error and then destroy this message.

NOV-05-2003 WED 03:48 PM INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY

FAX NO. 9254232231

P. 01

FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION COVER PAGE



**Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory
Office of Laboratory Counsel/Patent Group**

**FAX: (925) 423-2231
VERIFY: (925) 422-7272**

**Mail Address:
7000 East Avenue
P.O. Box 808, L-703
Livermore, CA 94550**

DATE: November 5, 2003

**FROM: April Masluk
(925) 422-0112**

**TO: John Wooldridge
FAX NO.: 925-215-2542**

NUMBER OF PAGES TO FOLLOW: 7

Subject: IL-10843 (EUVL)

Legally Privileged Notice

This message and the documents accompanying this transmission contain information belonging to the sender which is legally privileged. The information is intended only for the use of the individual or entity named above. If you are not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any disclosure, copying, distribution, or the taking of any action in reliance on the contents of this information is strictly prohibited. If you have received this email in error, please immediately notify us by telephone to arrange for the return of the original document(s) to us.

NOV-05-2003 WED 03:49 PM INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY

FAX NO. 9254232231

P. 02

Wooldridge
ENVL



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/896,722	06/29/2001	Daniel G. Stearns	CIL-10843	8725

7590 10/31/2003
Alan H. Thompson
Assistant Laboratory Counsel
Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory
P.O. Box 808, L-703
Livermore, CA 94551

RECEIVED

NOV 5 2003

LLNL-I.P.L.G.

EXAMINER

KUNEMUND, ROBERT M

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

1765

DATE MAILED: 10/31/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

NOV-05-2003 WED 03:49 PM INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY

FAX NO. 9254232231

P. 03

Office Action Summary	Application No. 09/896,722	Applicant(s) STEARNS ET AL.	RECEIVED CENTRAL FAX CENTER MAR 02 2004 OFFICIAL
	Examiner Robert M Kunemund	Art Unit 1765	

— The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address —

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 April 2003.

2a) ☒ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.

3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-25 and 36 is/are pending in the application.

4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.

5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.

6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-25 and 36 is/are rejected.

7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.

8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.

Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).

11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on _____ is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.

If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.

12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120

13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).

a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:

1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.

2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.

3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).

a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.

15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.

Attachment(s)

1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _____

4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). _____

5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

6) ☐ Other: _____

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office
PTOL-326 (Rev. 04-01)

Office Action Summary

Part of Paper No. 9

NOV-05-2003 WED 03:50 PM INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY

FAX NO. 9254232231

P. 04

Application/Control Number: 09/896,722
Art Unit: 1765

Page 2

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 1 to 25 and 36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Thilderkvist et al (6,227,194) in view of Montcalm et al (5,958,605)

The Thilderkvist et al. reference discloses a method for in situ cleaning of surfaces in a substrate processing chamber. A platform includes a base and a covering layer wherein the platform has been exposed to contaminants. Particles are present on the covering layer. The particles can potentially cause defects in the wafers or substrate. This reads on the applicant's limitation of a defect selected from the group consisting of a particle, a shallow pit and a scratch. A layer of material including silicon can be applied on top of the platform. During the application of the silicon containing layer, particles can diffuse into the layer during the high temperature process of

NOV-05-2003 WED 03:50 PM INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY

FAX NO. 9254232231

P. 05

Application/Control Number: 09/896,722

Page 3

Art Unit: 1765

applying the layer. Coating of the platform is preferably preformed at temperatures greater than about 1000°C (col. 9, lines 50-67). Figure 9 of the drawings shows that particles have been collected by the silicon containing layer (126) from the covering layer (124). The method includes a step of removing the layer after the particles have been collected. This reads on the applicants' limitation of etching away the damaged region (col. 10, lines 42-53). The sole difference between the instant claims and the prior art is the step of removing a defect from a multilayer coating. However, the Montcalm et al reference teaches a passivating overcoat bilayer or multiplayer reflective coatings for extreme ultraviolet lithography. The multiplayer coating is typically made of a stack of alternating layers of molybdenum and silicon or molybdenum and beryllium (col. 3, lines 1-6). The present invention may also encompass a third layer to form a trilayer. The trilayer is made up of a bottom layer, protective top layer and an intermediate layer deposited between the top and bottom layers, (col 3., lines 33-40). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the Thilderkvist et al reference by the teachings of the Montcalm et al reference to use a multiplayer coating as the Thilderkvist et al reference is not limited to the type of cover layer or coating used and thus multiplayer coating would achieve a reasonable expectation of success.

Response to Applicants' Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed April 18, 2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

NOV-05-2003 WED 03:51 PM INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY

FAX NO. 9254232231

P. 06

Application/Control Number: 09/896,722
Art Unit: 1765

Page 4

Applicants' argument concerning the Thilderkvist et al reference is noted.

However, the examiner admits in the rejection that the reference does not teach the entire claimed invention. The examiner has applied a secondary reference to teach the multiplayer processing and has given sufficient reasons to combine the references which when combined teach the claimed invention.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire **THREE MONTHS** from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within **TWO MONTHS** of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the **THREE-MONTH** shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than **SIX MONTHS** from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert M Kunemund whose telephone number is 703-308-1091. The examiner can normally be reached on 8 hours.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nadine Norton can be reached on 703-305-2667. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

NOV-05-2003 WED 03:52 PM INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY

FAX NO. 9254232231

P. 07

Application/Control Number: 09/896,722

Page 5

Art Unit: 1765

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0651.

RMK


ROBERT KUNEMUND
PRIMARY PATENT EXAMINER
AU 1765



Please type a plus sign (+) inside this box

PTO/SB/08E (08-00)

Approved for use through 10/31/2002. OMB 0651-0031

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office: U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it contains a valid OMB control number.

[illegible]

*EXAMINER: Initial if reference considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609. Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant.

1 Unique citation designation number. 2 Applicant is to place a check mark here if English language Translation is attached.
SEND TO: Commissioner for Parents, Washington, DC 20231